

Town Board Minutes

The Municipal Review Committee

July 6, 2015

Meeting No. 21

A joint meeting of the Town Board and the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, New York, acting as the Municipal Review Committee, was held at the Lancaster Town Hall, 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York on the 6th day of July 2015, at 6:15 PM and there were:

PRESENT: JOHN ABRAHAM, COUNCIL MEMBER
* RONALD RUFFINO, COUNCIL MEMBER
DONNA STEMPIAK, COUNCIL MEMBER
DINO FUDOLI, SUPERVISOR
REBECCA ANDERSON, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
ANTHONY GORSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
JOSEPH KEEFE, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
LAWRENCE KORZENIEWSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
KRISTIN MCCracken, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
NEIL CONNELLY, PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN

* Arrived at 6:17 PM

ABSENT: MELVIN SZYMANSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

ALSO PRESENT: JOHANNA COLEMAN, TOWN CLERK
JOHN DUDZIAK, TOWN ATTORNEY
LEONARD CAMPISANO, ASST. CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICER
ROBERT HARRIS, ENGINEER, WM. SCHUTT & ASSOCIATES

PURPOSE OF MEETING:

This joint meeting of the Town Board and Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster was held for the purpose of acting as a Municipal Review Committee for two (2) actions.

**IN THE MATTER OF THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
REVIEW (SEQR) OF THE

SUPERIOR PALLETS FACILITY**

The Municipal Review Committee reviewed the Short Environmental Assessment Form on the **Superior Pallets Facility** matter with an item for item review and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on the Short Environmental Assessment Form entitled “Part 2 Environmental Assessment” which was provided to each member.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Municipal Review Committee of the Town of Lancaster, acting as an advisory committee to provide input to the Town Board, the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is an **unlisted action**, and that committee recommends that there are no significant adverse environmental impacts relative to the criteria found in 6 NYCRR § 617.7, and further recommends that the lead agency issue a Negative Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law in accordance with § 617.12.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY

Lancaster Town Board
21 Central Avenue
Lancaster, New York 14086
John Dudziak, Town Attorney
716-684-3342

NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The proposed development is of a parcel involving approximately 7.0 acres.

The location of the premises being reviewed is 00 Walden Avenue (west of Cemetery Road and behind Orvilles Appliance warehouse), Lancaster, New York 14086, Erie County.

This project is described as the proposed 70,000 square foot one-story warehouse and office facility with paved parking lot, truck dock, landscaping and drainage improvements on a seven acre vacant parcel.

THE FOLLOWING MOTION WAS OFFERED BY
COUNCIL MEMBER ABRAHAM, WHO MOVED
ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY PLANNING
BOARD CHAIRMAN CONNELLY, TO WIT:

That the Municipal Review Committee has reviewed the potential environmental impacts associated with the Superior Pallets Facility matter identified in the Environmental Assessment Form, and, under the criteria for determining significance identified in 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(1) and in accordance with 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(2) and (3) for the reasons indicated below based on the discussion of each criterion specified in 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(1), the Project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment and therefore recommends that the Town Board finds that there are no significant adverse impacts from the proposed project and issue a Negative Declaration.

REASONS SUPPORTING RECOMMENDATION

- 1. The proposed action will not create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations.
- 2. The proposed action will not result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land.
- 3. The proposed action will not impair the character or quality of the existing community.
- 4. The Town of Lancaster has not established a Critical Environmental Area (CEA).
- 5. The proposed action will not result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway.
- 6. The proposed action will not cause an increase in the use of energy or fail to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities.
- 7. The proposed action will not impact existing public/private water supplies or public/private wastewater treatment utilities.
- 8. The proposed action will not impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources.
- 9. The proposed action will not result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora or fauna).
- 10. The proposed action will not result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems.
- 11. The proposed action will not create a hazard to environmental resources or human health.

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the MRC’s recommendation be sent to the Town Board, for its review and consideration as the lead agency for the Action.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Recommendation was duly put to a vote which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER ABRAHAM	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPIAK	VOTED	YES
SUPERVISOR FUDOLI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GORSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KEEFE	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MCCracken	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	WAS ABSENT	
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN CONNELLY	VOTED	YES

The Motion to Recommend was thereupon adopted.

July 6, 2015

**IN THE MATTER OF THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
REVIEW (SEQR) OF THE**

ADAM’S NURSERIES EXPANSION

The Municipal Review Committee reviewed the Short Environmental Assessment Form on the **Adam’s Nurseries Expansion** matter with an item for item review and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on the Short Environmental Assessment Form entitled “Part 2 Environmental Assessment” which was provided to each member.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Municipal Review Committee of the Town of Lancaster, acting as an advisory committee to provide input to the Town Board, the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is an **unlisted action**, and that committee recommends that there are no significant adverse environmental impacts relative to the criteria found in 6 NYCRR § 617.7, and further recommends that the lead agency issue a Negative Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law in accordance with § 617.12.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY

Lancaster Town Board
21 Central Avenue
Lancaster, New York 14086
John Dudziak, Town Attorney
716-684-3342

NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The proposed development is of a parcel involving approximately 39.16± acres.

The location of the premises being reviewed is 5799 Genesee Street, Lancaster, New York 14086, Erie County.

This project is described as the expansion on an existing greenhouse located at 5799 Genesee Street, Lancaster, New York. As part of the action, an existing 7,520± square foot greenhouse will be demolished. In its place, a proposed 18,681± square foot greenhouse will be erected resulting in a net expansion of 11,161± square feet of space. A storm sewer line will also be installed to convey stormwater runoff from the greenhouse expansion.

THE FOLLOWING MOTION WAS OFFERED BY
BY COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO, WHO MOVED
ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY PLANNING
BOARD MEMBER MCCracken, TO WIT:

That the Municipal Review Committee has reviewed the potential environmental impacts associated with the Adam’s Nurseries Expansion matter identified in the Environmental Assessment Form, and, under the criteria for determining significance identified in 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(1) and in accordance with 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(2) and (3)

for the reasons indicated below based on the discussion of each criterion specified in 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(1), the Project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment and therefore recommends that the Town Board finds that there are no significant adverse impacts from the proposed project and issue a Negative Declaration.

REASONS SUPPORTING RECOMMENDATION

- 1. The proposed action will not create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations.
- 2. The proposed action will not result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land.
- 3. The proposed action will not impair the character or quality of the existing community.
- 4. The Town of Lancaster has not established a Critical Environmental Area (CEA).
- 5. The proposed action will not result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway.
- 6. The proposed action will not cause an increase in the use of energy or fail to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities.
- 7. The proposed action will not impact existing public/private water supplies or public/private wastewater treatment utilities.
- 8. The proposed action will not impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources.
- 9. The proposed action will not result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora or fauna).
- 10. The proposed action will not result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems.
- 11. The proposed action will not create a hazard to environmental resources or human health.

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the MRC’s recommendation be sent to the Town Board, for its review and consideration as the lead agency for the Action.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Recommendation was duly put to a vote which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER ABRAHAM	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPIAK	VOTED	YES
SUPERVISOR FUDOLI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GORSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KEEFE	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MCCracken	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	WAS ABSENT	

PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN CONNELLY VOTED YES

The Motion to Recommend was thereupon adopted.

July 6, 2015

ADJOURNMENT:

ON MOTION OF COUNCIL MEMBER ABRAHAM AND SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO FOR ADJOURNMENT OF THE MEETING, which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER ABRAHAM	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPIAK	VOTED	YES
SUPERVISOR FUDOLI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GORSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KEEFE	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MCCracken	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	WAS ABSENT	
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN CONNELLY	VOTED	YES

The meeting was adjourned at 6:19 P.M.

Signed _____
Johanna M. Coleman, Town Clerk